Novel Stereoselective Syntheses of the Fused Benzazepine Dopamine D_1 Antagonist (6aS,13bR)-11-Chloro-6,6a,7,8,9,13b-hexahydro-7-methyl-5H-benzo[d]naphth[2,1-b]azepin-12-ol (Sch 39166): 2. L-Homophenylalanine-Based Syntheses

Richard W. Draper, Donald Hou,* Radha Iyer, Gary M. Lee, Jimmy T. Liang, Janet L. Mas, and Eugene J. Vater Chemical Process Research and Development Department, Schering-Plough Research Institute, 2015 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, New Jersey 07033-0539

Abstract:

Two enantioselective syntheses of the fused benzazepine dopamine D₁ antagonist (6aS,13bR)-11-chloro-6,6a,7,8,9,13bhexahydro-7-methyl-5H-benzo[d]naphth[2,1-b]azepin-12-ol (1) are described in which the starting material is (+)-L-homophenylalanine (6). In the first approach, methyl (2S)-(1,2,3,4tetrahydro-1-oxo-2-naphthalenyl)carbamate (5) is prepared by intramolecular Friedel—Crafts cyclization of N-carbomethoxy (+)-L-homophenylalanine (9). Subsequent alkylation of 5 with (4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)magnesium bromide, deoxygenation with Et₃SiH, reduction, alkylation, and epimerization yields (+)trans-(1R,2S)-1-(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-N-methyl-2-naphthalenamine (2), a key intermediate in the previously described route to 1 (Draper, R. W.; Hou, D.; Iyer, R.; Lee, G. M.; Liang, J. T.; Mas, J. L.; Tormos, W.; Vater, E. J.; Günter, F.; Mergelsberg, I.; Scherer, D. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1998, 2, XXXXX). A complementary route to 2 is also described in which arylation of an N-protected, carboxyl-activated (+)-L-homophenylalanine affords (2S)-1-(4chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(methoxycarbamoyl)-4-phenyl-1-butanone (26). Reduction of the latter compound followed by an acid-catalyzed, diastereoselective cyclization affords (+)-(1R,2S)-[1-(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (16), which is reduced and alkylated as before to produce 2.

Introduction

In the preceding paper we described several enantio-selective syntheses based on a chiral aziridinium salt approach to the novel, selective, dopamine D_1 receptor antagonist Sch 39166 (1), whose pharmacological profile makes it an important commercial synthetic target. In this paper, we describe a number of alternative stereoselective syntheses of 1 starting from the readily available synthon (+)-L-homophenylalanine (6).

Two parallel retrosynthetic analyses of the key tricyclic compound **2**, a known precursor¹ of **1**, are illustrated in Scheme 1. Disconnection of the seven-membered ring and removal of the 2-carbon appendage leads to a synthon such as **3**, which might be assembled from an organometallic reagent derived from 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole and a suitably protected 2-amino-1-tetralone **5**. **5** in turn might be generated by an internal Friedel—Crafts cyclization of an appropriate (+)-L-homophenylalanine derivative.

An alternative retrosynthetic analysis juxtaposes the order of scission of the C ring and attachment of the A ring. Cleavage of the tetralin $C_{13a}-C_{13b}$ bond and elimination of the two-carbon chain suggests the amino alcohol intermediate 7, which could be constructed by arylation of a suitable carboxyl group activated (\pm)-L-homophenylalanine derivative. We report herein the realization of the synthesis of 1 along both of these pathways.

Results and Discussion

Of the various blocking groups such as the trifluoroacetyl group, ^{3a} phenylsulfonyl group, ^{3b} and ethoxycarbonyl group, ^{3b} normally used for N-protection of amino acids during Friedel—Crafts reactions, McClure⁴ reported that the carbomethoxy group is superior, as they found that *N*-methylcarbamate protected (+)-L-homophenylalanine undergoes a stereoselective Friedel—Crafts cyclization to give the N-protected aminotetralone ring system with high ee. This was fortuitous, since for our purposes the carbomethoxy group not only can serve to protect the nitrogen but also, upon reduction, can be transformed to the *N*-methyl group of 1. Following McClure's procedure, ⁴ 6 was converted in three steps to 5 in 86% overall yield with excellent ee (>98%)

^{*} To whom correspondence should be sent: Schering-Plough Research Institute, 2015 Galloping Hill Rd., Mailstop K-15-2, 2800, Kenilworth, NJ 07033. Tel: (908) 298-7121. FAX (908) 298-6610. E-mail: donald.hou@spcorp.com.

⁽¹⁾ See preceding paper and references therein for an introduction to the pharmacology of 1 and a discussion on alternative synthetic approaches: Draper, R. W.; Hou, D.; Iyer, R.; Lee, G. M.; Liang, J. T.; Mas, J. L.; Tormos, W.; Vater, E. J.; Günter, F.; Mergelsberg, I.; Scherer, D. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1998, 2, 175.

^{(2) (+)-}L-Homophenylalanine is available in bulk quantities from Tanabe U.S.A., Inc., and Aceto Corporation (U.S. Representative for Ajinomoto).

^{(3) (}a) Norlander, E. J.; Payne, M. J.; Njoroge, F. G.; Vishwanath, V. M.; Han, G. R.; Laikos, G. D.; Balk, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3619. (b) Buckley, T. F., III; Rapoport, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6157.

⁽⁴⁾ McClure, D. E.; Lumma, P. K.; Arison, B. H.; Jones, J. H.; Baldwin, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2675.

$$CH_{3}O \xrightarrow{A} A \xrightarrow{CI} OCH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}O \xrightarrow{A} A \xrightarrow{D} CH_{3}O \xrightarrow{$$

 a R = CO₂CH₃; M = Li or MgBr.

Scheme 2ª

^a (a) CICO₂CH₃, NaOH, NaHCO₃; (b) SOCl₂, CH₂Cl₂; (c) AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂.

Scheme 3 a

(Scheme 2). Carbamate **9** formation proceeds enantioselectively (>98% ee); however, it was found in the subsequent sequence of activation to acid chloride **10** (not isolated) and ensuing cyclization that careful control of the workup conditions was required to avoid racemization of **5**. Although McClure reported that quenching of the reaction mixture with ice cold HCl does not racemize the product, we found on occasion that various amounts of racemization (24-76% ee) had occurred. However, when ice in NH₄Cl solution was used, no racemization was observed.

With ketone **5** in hand, we next focused on the addition of the nucleophilic reagents **4a** or **4b** (readily prepared from 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole) (Scheme 3). Initial attempts to arylate **5** with Grignard reagent **4a** gave a 3:4 mixture of the desired product **11** and starting material. Addition of CuI⁵ or conversion of **4a** to either the higher-order mixed

cuprate with CuCN⁶ or the organocerium reagent with CeCl₃⁷ produced similar results. However, reaction of **5** with 3.5 equiv of **4b** gave a 77% yield of **11** and only 12% recovery of **5**. Lower yields were observed using 2 equiv of **4b**. The effect of solvent on the reaction was also examined, with the best results obtained using THF or Et₂O (lower yields were observed using other solvents such as diglyme and CH₂Cl₂). The addition occurs with high diastereoselectivity to afford the trans product (equatorial addition: steric approach control from the less hindered face of 5, i.e., anti to the *N*-carbomethoxy group), with no racemization occurring (98% ee). The configuration of **11** was confirmed by ¹H NMR NOE experiments.

The source of the recovered starting material presumably arises either from incomplete reaction of the starting material (presumably deprotonated at the carbamate nitrogen) or from deprotonation α to the carbonyl of 5 to give the enolate, since upon acidic quenching 5 is recovered racemized to some extent (from about 10% to complete racemization, depending on the reaction conditions). This is somewhat surprising since it was assumed that deprotonation of the more acidic amide proton would preclude this. Perhaps a facile equilibration between the two anions is occurring which is competing with the addition of 4a or 4b to the carbonyl moiety. We reasoned that N-alkylation might prevent this transformation from occurring, and a number of attempts to alkylate 5 were made using MeI or dimethyl sulfate, but only mixtures of the mono C- and N-methyl compounds 12 and 13, as well as the O,N-dimethylated 14 were obtained (See Figure 1). These results confirm the facile equilibration between the various resonance forms (C-, N-, and O-anions).

Silane-mediated deoxygenation of 11 gave an $\approx 3:1$ mixture of cis 15 and trans 16 (See Scheme 4). The trans/cis mixture indicates that the reaction proceeds, at least in part, through the intermediacy of the benzylic cation. Furthermore, under certain conditions racemization of the

⁽⁶⁾ Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Floyd, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7672.

⁽⁷⁾ Imamoto, T.; Takiyama, N.; Nakamura, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4763.

Figure 1.

Scheme 4 a

 $^{\it a}$ (a) Et₃SiH (5 equiv), CF₃CO₂H (25 equiv), rt; (b) LiAlH₄, THF, reflux; (c) BrCH₂CH(OCH₃)₂, KF on Al₂O₃, CH₃CN, reflux; (d) *t*-BuOK, DMSO, DMF, rt

product carbamates 15 and 16 had also occurred (presumably via reduction of the enamide). However, racemization was avoided by using at least 2.5 equiv of Et₃SiH and 25 equiv of CF₃CO₂H. LiAlH₄ reduction then converted the methyl carbamate group of 15 and 16 to a 3.6:1 mixture of the N-methylamines cis 17 and trans 18. N-Alkylation of the mixture of 17 and 18 with BrCH₂CH(OMe)₂ to give the tertiary amines cis 19 and trans 2 in an \approx 3:1 ratio proceeded in 91% yield using KF on Al₂O₃⁸ as the base. Other bases, such as K₂CO₃, although affording the desired product, were not as efficient. The \approx 1:3 trans/cis mixture was improved to a >50:1 trans/cis 2/19 mixture in 73% yield by treating with KO-t-Bu in DMSO/DMF. This is in significant contrast to the results of a similar epimerization of the secondary substituted amine (-NHCH₂CH(OCH₃)₂) in which case only an 85:15 trans/cis mixture was obtained1 and presumably is due to the increased bulk on the nitrogen.

This concludes a formal total synthesis of 1 since 2 has been previously converted to 1.¹ However, to confirm the stereochemical integrity, 2 (prepared from 6) was converted to O-methyl 20, whose enantiomeric excess was shown to be 95% ee by chiral HPLC (see Scheme 5).

Our second approach to intermediate 2 contemplated the arylation of an optically active α -aminoaldehyde, itself derived from a protected (+)-L-homophenylalanine or, alternatively by direct arylation of the protected amino acid derivative (Scheme 1, lower route). Subsequent cyclization or reduction/cyclization, respectively, followed by alkylation,

Scheme 5 a

^a (a) (i) CH₃SO₃H, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C (ii) NaBH₄.

Scheme 6 a

(a)
$$95\%$$
 (6, $R_1 = CO_2H$, $R_2 = H$
(b) 91% (21, $R_1 = CO_2H$, $R_2 = Cbz$
(c) (23, $R_1 = CHO$, $R_2 = Cbz$
23, $R_1 = CHO$, $R_2 = Cbz$

 a (a) CbzCl, Na₂CO₃, NaOH; (b) NHCH₃OCH₃·HCl, BOP, TEA, CH₂Cl₂; (c) LiAlH, THF; (d) 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole, Mg, THF, −15 °C → rt.

should afford intermediate 2, which has been previously converted to 1. Several recent publications have appeared describing the synthesis of optically active α -amino aldehydes from the corresponding α -amino acids⁹ and the alkylation of α-amino acids.10 In particular, Fehrentz and Castro^{9a} have been able to form α-aminoaldehydes from the corresponding α-amino acids in high yield and optical purity by reduction of the corresponding Weinreb amide¹¹ with LiAlH₄. In light of this work, N-carbobenzyloxy-N-methyl amide 22 was prepared in >90% yield from 21 with N,Odimethyl hydroxylamine•HCl in the presence of NEt3 and benzotriazol-1-yloxytris[dimethylamino]phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) (Scheme 6). 21 was readily prepared by carbamate protection of 6 with benzylchloroformate and Na₂CO₃ in aqueous NaOH in 95% yield. However, the reduction of hydroxamate 22 with LiAlH4 to crude aldehyde 23 and subsequent alkylation with 4a (M = MgBr) produced a complex mixture of products and was abandoned.

Alternatively, arylation of hydroxamate **25** with **4a** followed by reduction could also afford a similar amino alcohol **27** as in the previous sequence while being easier to handle. Indeed, arylation of hydroxamate **25** with 2.5 equiv of Grignard reagent **4a** at -15 °C followed by aqueous workup produced an 80% isolated yield of keto carbamate **26**, with 98% ee as determined by chiral HPLC (Scheme 7). As in the case of compound **22**, Weinreb amide **25** was readily prepared in excellent yield (98%) from **9** with *N*,*O*-dimethyl hydroxylamine•HCl in the presence of NEt₃ and BOP. To form the tetralin ring system, a reduction step is

^{(9) (}a) Fehrentz, J.-A.; Castro, B.; Synthesis 1983, 676. (b) Ito, A.; Takahashik
R.; Baba, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1969, 17, 1902. (c) Khatrik, H.; Stammer,
C. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 79. (d) Tokita, S.; Umezawa,
Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 521.

^{(10) (}a) Reetz, M. T.; Rolfing, K.; Griebenow, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1969. (b) Buckley, T. F., III; Rapoport, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6157.

⁽¹¹⁾ Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.

 a (a) NHCH₃OCH₃·HCl, BOP, TEA, CH₂Cl₂; (b) 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole, Mg, THF, $-15~^\circ\text{C}$ \rightarrow rt; (c) NaBH₄, EtOH; (d) CH₃SO₃H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 $^\circ\text{C}$ \rightarrow rt.

needed, which was performed with NaBH₄ to afford the alcohol **27** as a pair of diastereomers in an approximately 3:1 ratio. The latter mixture was converted in a highly stereoselective ring closure (MeSO₃H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C \rightarrow rt) to the trans-substituted tetralin (94:6 *trans/cis*) **16** in which no racemization of the chiral center was observed. Presumably the cyclization proceeds through a late transition state in which product development control favors the thermodynamically more stable trans isomer.¹² The conversion of intermediate **16** to Sch 39166 (**1**) has been described previously (*vide supra*).

The sequence of activation of **9** with Weinreb's reagent followed by arylation produced the desired keto carbamate **26** in high yield with no significant racemization of the chiral center. It nevertheless concerned us that 2 equiv of Grignard reagent **4a** was consumed because of an unproductive acid/base reaction with the carbamate nitrogen proton, since the precursor 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole was, at the time, considered to represent a considerable portion of the material cost. To limit the use of **4a**, the arylation reaction was also carried out in the presence of 1.5 equiv of NaH or *t*-BuLi, which was added prior to the addition of 1.1 equiv of the Grignard reagent. In both instances, lower yields, 32% and 47%, of intermediate **26** were obtained along with considerable starting material.

The protection of the amino group of **6** as a secondary rather than tertiary amine precluded the use of less than 2 equiv of **4a**. Conversion of (+)-L-homophenylalanine to an oxazolidinone such as **28** can serve as carboxyl function activator and additionally can protect the nitrogen as a tertiary amino group (Scheme 8). Therefore, oxazolidinone **28** was prepared¹³ from **9** using 2 equiv of paraformaldehyde and a catalytic amount of p-TsOH in benzene with azeotropic removal of water. Analysis of **28** by chiral HPLC demonstrated that the cyclization occurs without epimerization.

Scheme 8 a

 a (a) Paraformaldehyde, p-TsOH, reflux; (b) 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole, Mg, THF, 0 °C → rt; (c) BF₃·Et₂O, Et₂O.

Addition of 1.3 equiv of Grignard reagent **4a** to a solution of **28** in THF at -15 °C and warming to 0 °C followed by an aqueous NH₄Cl quench led to a mixture (approximately 89:11 *cis/trans*) of oxazolidines **29** in 88% yield with an ee of 97%. Minor amounts of addition to the carbamate group were also observed to give compound **30**. Finally, Lewis acid catalyzed rearrangement of **29** using BF₃•Et₂O gave the desired keto carbamate **26** in 79% isolated yield and 97% ee. The conversion of intermediate **26** to Sch 39166 (**1**) has been described previously (*vide supra*).

Conclusion

A number of enantio- and stereoselective syntheses of 1 has been developed starting from (+)-L-homophenylalanine (6). The first route proceeds through the key intermediate 5, which is further elaborated to the *trans*-substituted 1-aryl-2-aminotetralin 2, a known intermediate for the synthesis of 1. The second route proceeds through amino ketone 26, which is prepared from either the Weinreb amide 25 or oxazolidinone 28. Further elaboration of 26 then gives the previously prepared 2. The overall yields for these sequences proceeding via ketone 5, Weinreb amide 25, and oxazolidinone 28 are 19.5%, 22.3%, and 25.4%, respectively, and the stereochemical integrity of 6 is maintained throughout each synthetic sequence. Of the three routes developed, the one proceeding through oxazolidinone 28 is the preferred route, not only because of its higher overall yields but also because it minimizes the amount of 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole required.

⁽¹²⁾ For a related cyclization example, see: Ehrlich, P. P.; Ralston, J. W.; Michaelides, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2782.

^{(13) (}a) Ben-Ishai, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5736. (b) Itoh, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1969, 17, 1679.

Experimental Section

General. Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR (400 and 300 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ or (CD₃)₂SO solutions with Me₄Si as the internal standard, unless specified otherwise. IR spectra were obtained using a Matteson Galaxy 7000 IR spectrometer. Unless specified otherwise, all reagents and solvents were used as supplied by the manufacturer. TLC refers to thin-layer chromatography. All reactions were run under an inert atmosphere. Retention times for the chiral HPLC are provided in the Supporting Information. Flash chromatography refers to the procedure developed by Still et al.¹⁴

(2S)-α-[(Methoxycarbonyl)amino]benzenebutanoic Acid (9). A solution of 6 (100.14 g, 0.559 mol), NaOH (1.12 L, 1.12 mol, 1 N aqueous solution), and Na₂CO₃ (88.61 g, 0.836 mol) was cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath to about -78 °C. ClCO₂CH₃ (90 mL, 1.17 mol) was then added dropwise over 15 min, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 2 using 5% HCl (\approx 500 mL) followed by 50% HCl (about 400 mL), and then 1 L of CH₂Cl₂ was added. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 × 150 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers were washed with 1 × 250 mL of saturated NaCl solution, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to give 9 in 96% yield (137.91 g, 0.539 mmol). Chiral HPLC (Chiralpak WH, 4.6 × 240 mm) indicates 98% ee.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.10–7.30 (m, 5H); 5.25 (br d, 1H); 4.42 (br s, 1H); 3.70 (s, 3H); 2.70 (m, 2H); 2.20 (m, 1H) 2.01 (m, 1H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₄: C, 60.75; H, 6.37; N, 5.90. Found: C, 60.79; H, 6.18; N, 5.60.

Methyl (2*S*)-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1-oxo-2-naphthalenyl)carbamate (5). A solution of 9 (5.014 g, 21.135 mmol), 40 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and SO_2Cl_2 (1.6 mL, 21.935 mmol) was heated to reflux for 2 h and then cooled to rt. The product, α -[(methoxycarbonyl)amino]benzenebutanoic acid chloride (10), was not isolated but used as is.

To a slurry of AlCl₃ (8.483 g, 63.616 mmol) and 40 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added the solution of **10** (from above) over a 2 h period, and after further stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was gradually added to 300 mL of a saturated NH₄Cl solution and ice mixture (1:1 ratio). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm gradually to rt and was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 2 \times 20 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and then concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to afford **5** in 88% yield (4.064 g, 18.538 mmol). Chiral HPLC (Chiralpak AS, 4.6 \times 250 mm) indicated 99% ee.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 7.62 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 7.22–7.35 (m, 2H); 5.90 (br s, 1H); 4.40–4.50 (m, 1H); 3.72 (s, 3H); 3.25 (dt, 1H, J = 3.7, 11.2 Hz); 3.02 (m, 1H, J = 15 Hz); 2.78 (br m, 1H); 1.95 (dd, 1H, J = 3.7, 15 Hz). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +69.5^\circ$ (c 1.01, CHCl₃) (lit.⁴ $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +67.0^\circ$ (c 0.60, CHCl₃)). Mp 122–123 °C (lit.⁴

mp 125–127 °C). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₃: C, 65.74; H, 5.98; N, 6.39. Found: C, 65.72; H, 5.90; N, 6.34.

(-)-Methyl [1-(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-hydroxy-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (11). A solution of 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole (3.043 g, 13.740 mmol) and 10 mL of Et₂O was cooled to −15 °C (acetone/ice bath), and then t-BuLi (16.4 mL, 27.88 mmol, 1.7 M in pentane) was added dropwise via an addition funnel over a 1 h period. After stirring for 20 min at -15 °C, 5 (1.005 g, 4.582 mmol) was added portionwise over a 5 min period and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt. Saturated NH₄Cl (50 mL) and 30 mL of EtOAc were then added, the layers were separated, and the agueous layer was extracted with 3×20 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with 1×50 mL of saturated NaCl, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor. Flash chromatography of the crude mixture (20:80 hexane/Et₂O) afforded 11 in 77% yield (1.274 g, 3.521 mmol). Starting material 5 was recovered in 12% yield (0.117 g, 0.535 mmol). Chiral HPLC (Chiralpak AS, 4.6 × 250 mm) indicated 98% ee for 11. $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -211.3^{\circ}$ (c 4.08, EtOH). Mp: softens 155–157 °C, melts 167–168 °C. MS: m/z361 $(M^+ + 1)$; 344 $(M^+ - 17, OH)$. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀NO₄Cl: C, 63.07; H, 3.87; N, 3.87. Found: C, 62.93; H, 5.18; N, 3.46.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.05–7.35 (m, 6H); 6.40 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz); 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 15 Hz); 4.32 (br s, 1H); 4.20 (m, 1H); 3.82 (s, 3H); 3.62 (s, 3H); 2.90–3.20 (m, 2H); 1.60–1.90 (m, 2H).

NOE experiments indicated the trans relationship between the aryl and carbamate groups. H-2 was determined to be pseudoaxial, J=9 Hz, $J_{\rm H2H3}=12$ and 3 Hz. NOEs were observed between H-2 and H-3 (equatorial) and H-2 and H-4 (axial) as well as between H-2 and the ortho protons of the aryl ring. (See Supporting Information for details.)

Methylation of Methyl (2S)-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1-oxo-2-naphthalenyl)carbamate (5). A series of experiments were carried out in which 5 was treated with methyl iodide or dimethyl sulfate in the presence of base (NaH/THF; K₂CO₃/THF; K₂CO₃/DMF; KF/Al₂O₃ and 50% NaOH/CH₂-Cl₂/Aliquat 336). Workup and flash chromatography (20–30% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded three products, which were identified as the following.

Methyl (1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-2-methyl-1-oxo-2-naphthalenyl)carbamate (12). 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.08 (dd, 1H, J = 1.2, 7.9 Hz); 7.55 (dt, 1H, J = 1.4, 7.5 Hz); 7.35 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz); 7.28 (d, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz); 6.13 (br s, 1H); 3.68 (s, 3H); 3.00 (m, 3H); 2.32 (dt, 1H, J = 5.5, 13.1 Hz); 1.56 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 198.1; 155.8; 143.0; 134.1; 130.3; 129.0; 128.6; 127.0; 58.7; 51.6; 32.8; 25.9; 20.1. MS: m/z 234 (M⁺ + 1); 202 (M⁺ – 15, CH₃).

Methyl [(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1-oxo-2-naphthalenyl)-methyl]carbamate (13) (Mixture of Rotamers). *Major Rotamer*. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.07 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz); 7.55 (m, 1H); 7.35 (m, 2H); 5.02 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2, 13.6 Hz); 3.77 (s, 3H); 3.22 (m, 1H); 3.05 (m, 1H); 2.87 (s, 3H); 2.6 (m, 2H).

Minor Rotamer. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.07 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz); 7.55 (m, 1H); 7.35 (m, 2H); 4.82 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2, 13.6 Hz); 3.69 (s, 3H); 3.22 (m, 1H); 3.05 (m, 1H); 2.91 (s, 3H); 2.6 (m, 2H).

Methyl [(3,4-Dihydro-1-methoxy-2-naphthalenyl)-methyl]carbamate (14). 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.44 (d, 1H, J = 7 Hz); 7.20 (m, 3H); 3.77 (s, 3H); 3.72 (s, 3H); 3.17 (s, 3H); 2.92 (t, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz); 2.51 (m, 2H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 147.7; 136.3; 131.9; 127.7; 127.4; 126.5; 122.2; 59.5; 52.7; 35.7; 29.5; 28.2; 26.5; 26.4. MS: m/z 248 (M⁺ + 1); 232 (M⁺ - 15, CH₃); 216 (M⁺ - 31, OCH₃).

cis-(1S,2S)-Methyl [1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (15) and trans-(1R,2S)-Methyl [1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (16). A solution of 11 (1.137 g, 3.142 mmol) and 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was cooled to 0 °C (ice water bath), and then Et₃SiH (1.30 mL, 0.946 g, 8.14 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt and cooled to 0 °C (ice water bath), and then CF₃CO₂H (6.10 mL, 79.2 mmol) was added. After stirring for 1 h at rt, 10 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ and 25 mL of CH₂Cl₂ were added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 1×25 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers were washed with 1×20 mL of saturated NaCl, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to afford a mixture of cis and trans isomers 15 and 16 in quantitative yield. ¹H NMR indicated an \approx 3:1 **15:16** ratio. The crude product was used as is in the next reaction. Analytical samples of 15 and 16 were prepared by flash chromatography (1:1 Et₂O/ hexanes).

Cis **15**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.05–7.25 (m, 4H); 6.94 (d, 1H, J = 7 Hz); 6.60 (s, 1H); 6.42 (d, 1H, J = 7 Hz); 4.20–4.50 (m, 3H); 3.80 (s, 3H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.02 (m, 2H); 1.70–1.85 (m, 2H).

Trans **16**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.05–7.30 (m, 4H); 6.85 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 6.71 (s, 1H); 6.55 (dd, 1H, J = 1.5, 7.5 Hz); 4.80 (br s, 1H); 4.08 (br s, 2H); 3.82 (s, 3H); 3.61 (s, 3H); 2.85–3.07 (m, 2H); 2.05–2.15 (m, 1H); 1.70–1.90 (m, 1H).

cis-(1S,2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-N-methyl-2-naphthalenamine (17) and trans-(1R,2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-N-methyl-2-naphthalenamine (18). To a solution of cis and trans 15 and 16 (412.8 mg, 1.141 mmol) in 5 mL of THF was added LiAlH₄ (3.60 mL, 3.60 mmol, 1 M in Et₂O), and the reaction mixture was then heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooling to rt, 10 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ and 25 mL of CH₂Cl₂ were added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 2 × 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers were washed with $1 \times 10 \text{ mL}$ of saturated NaCl, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to yield the product as a mixture of cis and trans 17 and 18 in 91% yield (313.3 mg, 1.038 mmol). ¹H NMR indicated an \approx 3:1 **17:18** ratio. Analytical samples of 17 and 18 were prepared by flash chromatography (3:7 EtOAc/hexanes).

Cis 17. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.52–7.35 (m, 7H); 4.35 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz); 3.81 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 2.85–3.10 (m, 3H); 2.50 (s, 3H); 1.30–1.99 (m, 3H).

Trans **18**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.17–7.32 (m, 7H); 3.90 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 3.85 (s, 3H); 2.80–3.0 (m, 3H); 2.40 (s, 3H); 2.20–2.30 (m, 1H); 1.30–1.80 (m, 2H).

cis-(1S,2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2,2dimethoxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-N-methyl-2-naphthalenamine (19) and trans-(1R,2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-Nmethyl-2-naphthalenamine (2). A mixture of cis and trans amines 17 and 18 (2.01 gm, 6.660 mmol), K₂CO₃ (9.282 g, 66.7 mmol, milled), bromoacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal (4.00 mL, 33.8 mmol), and 20 mL of DMF was added to a 250 mL Teflon acid digestion bomb, sealed, placed in a stainless steel closed system reactor (bomb), and then heated with stirring for 3 days (oil bath temperature about 170 °C). After cooling to rt, 25 mL of saturated NaHCO₃, 25 mL of water, and 50 mL of t-BuOMe were added and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 2×50 mL of t-BuOMe, and the combined organic layers were washed with 3×25 mL water and 1×10 mL saturated salt, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor. Flash chromatography (30-50% EtOAc/hexanes) then afforded the product as a mixture of trans 2 and cis 19 isomers (1:3 ratio) in 76% combined yield (1.98 g, 5.078 mmol).

Trans **2**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.65–7.30 (m, 7H); 4.12 (t, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz); 4.09 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz); 3.82 (s, 3H); 3.21 (s, 3H); 3.12 (s, 3H); 2.95 (m, 3H); 2.60 (dd, 2H, J = 5.6, 11.3 Hz); 2.31 (s, 3H); 2.08 (m, 1H); 1.70–1.80 (m, 1H).

Cis **19**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.65–7.35 (m, 7H); 4.51 (t, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz); 4.09 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz); 3.82 (s, 3H); 3.40 (2s, 6H); 2.40–2.90 (m, 3H); 2.32 (s, 3H); 2.25 (m, 1H); 2.08 (m, 1H); 1.51–1.81 (m, 1H).

(+)-trans-(1R,2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-N-methyl-2-naphthalenamine (2). To a solution of trans 2 and cis 19 (280.9 mg, 0.720 mmol) in 2 mL of freshly degassed DMSO and 1 mL of freshly degassed DMF cooled to 0 °C (ice/water bath) was added KO-t-Bu (172.8 mg, 1.540 mmol). After stirring for 1 h at rt, 15 mL of saturated NaHCO₃, 20 mL of t-BuOMe, and 5 mL of t-BuOMe are added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 3 \times 15 mL of t-BuOMe, and the combined organic layers were washed with 4 \times 5 mL of t-QO, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to yield a 51:1 ratio of the t-rans/cis isomers. Flash chromatography (30–50% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded 2 in 73% yield (214.2 mg, 0.549 mmol).

The ¹H NMR spectrum was identical to that previously described. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +63.53^{\circ}$ (c 1.49, EtOH).

trans-(-)-(6a*S*,13b*R*)-11-Chloro-6,6a,7,8,9,13b-hexahy-dro-7-methyl-12-methoxy-5*H*-benzo[*d*]naphth[2,1-*b*]aze-pine (20). A solution of CH₃SO₃H (16.3 g, 169.4 mmol) and 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was cooled to -15 °C (acetone/dry ice bath), and then a solution of *N*-methyl amine 2 (0.981 g, 2.512 mmol) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added over a 5 min

period. After stirring at 20-25 °C for 24 h, t-BuNH₂·BH₃ (0.27 g, 3.057 mmol) was added, and then after 1 h, a solution of NaHCO₃ (11.389 g, 135.6 mmol) dissolved in 150 mL of H₂O was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 2×50 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers were washed with 2×30 mL of H₂O, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to give the crude product. Flash chromatography (2.5% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) afforded (-)-20 in 72% yield (0.593 g, 1.811 mmol). Chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD, 4.6×250 mm) indicates 95% ee.

Note: Sulfuric acid can be used instead of methanesulfonic acid, with the same results.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.95–7.19 (m, 5H); 5.88 (s, 1H); 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); 3.5–3.62 (m, 1H); 3.49 (s, 3H); 3.2 (dd, 1H, J = 3.75, 11.3 Hz); 2.65–2.86 (m, 4H); 2.51 (s, 3H); 2.41 (dd, 1H, J = 5.6, 11.3 Hz); 1.98–2.18 (m, 1H); 1.6–1.8 (dq, 1H, J = 5.6, 11.3 Hz). [α]²⁰_D = -191.77° (c 0.90, EtOH).

(2S)-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)benzenebutanoic acid (21). A solution of 6 (3.509 g, 19.578 mmol) and NaOH (28 mL, 28 mmol, 1 N aqueous solution) was cooled in an ice bath (about 0 °C), then ClCO₂CH₂Ph (4.780 g, 28.019 mmol) was added dropwise over a 3 min period, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and then for 3 h at rt. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 with concentrated HCl, the solution extracted with 50 mL *t*-BuOMe, the pH readjusted to 4.5 with concentrated HCl, and the precipitate that forms was collected. The solid was washed with 250 mL H₂O and then dissolved in 250 mL EtOAc. The remaining aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 1 with concd HCl and extracted with 150 mL of EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to yield 21 in 99% yield (6.065 g, 19.354 mmol).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (br s, 1H); 7.10–7.42 (m, 10H); 5.32 (d, 1H, J = 7 Hz); 5.12 (br s, 2H); 4.48 (m, 1H); 2.70 (t, 2H, J = 5 Hz); 2.23 (m, 1H); 2.06 (m, 1H).

Phenylmethyl (2S)-[1-[[(Methoxymethyl)amino]carbonyl]-3-phenylpropyl]carbamate (22). To a solution of 21 (0.71 g, 2.3 mmol) in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added NEt₃ (0.23 g, 2.3 mmol). Benzotriazol-1-yloxytris[dimethylamino]phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (1.0 g, 2.3 mmol) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min, followed by the addition of N,Odimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.24 g, 2.5 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.23 g, 2.3 mmol). The reaction was monitored by TLC, and upon completion, 300 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added and the mixture was extracted sequentially with 3×30 mL of 10% HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 hexane/EtOAc) to give 22 as a clear oil in 91% yield (0.735 g, 2.06 mmol).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.5–7.2 (m, 10H); 5.6 (d, 1H); 5.17 (dd, 2H, J = 20.2, 12.2 Hz); 4.8 (m, 1H); 3.7 (s, 3H); 3.2 (s, 3H); 2.9–2.6 (m, 2H); 2.2–1.9 (m, 2H). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 3425, 3050, 1725, 1650, 1500, 1225, 1050 cm⁻¹. Anal.

Calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}O_4N_2$: C, 66.26; H, 7.02; N, 8.13. Found: C, 66.17; H, 6.63; N, 7.81.

Methyl (2S)-[1-[[(Methoxymethyl)amino]carbonyl]-3phenylpropyl]carbamate (25). To a solution of 9 (2.97 g, 12.5 mmol) in 60 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added NEt₃ (1.26 g, 12.5 mmol). Benzotriazol-1-yloxytris[dimethylamino]phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (5.53 g, 12.5 mmol) in 30 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min, followed by the addition of N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.34 g, 13.7 mmol) and NEt₃ (1.26 g, 12.5 mmol). The reaction was monitored by TLC, and additional NEt₃ (1.26 g, 12.5 mmol) was needed to ensure complete reaction. Upon completion, 300 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added and the mixture was washed sequentially with 2×125 mL of 10% HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO4 and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (98.5:1.5 CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH) to give 25 as a yellow oil in 98% yield (3.45 g, 12.3 mmol).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.3–7.2 (m, 5H); 5.53 (d, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz); 4.8–4.7 (m, 1H); 3.75 (s, 3H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.22 (s, 3H); 2.9–2.6 (m, 2H); 2.2–1.9 (m, 2H). IR (film): 3300, 3025, 2950, 1725, 1650, 1550, 1450, 1250, 1050 cm⁻¹.

(2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(methoxycarbamoyl)-4-phenyl-1-butanone (26). Dry THF was added to a mixture of 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole (0.96 g, 4.33 mmol) and magnesium (0.104 g, 4.28 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred until initiation commenced (indicated by a color change to yellow and heat evolution), at which time additional THF was added until a 1 M solution of 4a was approximated. The temperature of the reaction mixture was not allowed to exceed 40 °C, and upon completion, a backtitration to a phenolphthalein endpoint established the Grignard concentration.

A solution of **25** (0.477 g, 1.70 mmol) in 6 mL of dry THF was cooled to 0 °C, and then 2.5 equiv of **4a** (solution in THF) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt (reaction monitored by TLC), and after complete disappearance of **25**, the reaction was quenched with 10 mL of EtOH and 10 mL of 5% HCl and the mixture was extracted with a solution of 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄, concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor, and purified by flash chromatography (95:5 → 85:15, EtOAc/hexane) to give **26** in 80% yield (0.44 g, 1.3 mmol) as a clear oil.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.2 (m, 8H); 5.72 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz); 5.33 (dt, 1H, J = 4, 8 Hz); 3.89 (s, 3H); 2.8–2.6 (m, 2H); 2.3–2.1 (m, 1H); 2.0–1.8 (m, 1H). IR (film): 3350, 2950, 1675, 1425, 1250, 1050 cm⁻¹.

(2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl-2-amino-N-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyl-1-butanol (27). A solution of 26 (0.45 g, 1.2 mmol) and 15 mL of EtOH was cooled to 0 °C, NaBH₄ (0.047 g, 1.2 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred until completion. Upon complete reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. The solvent was removed using a Büchi rotavapor, and the crude product was redissolved in

Et₂O, washed sequentially with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed using a Büchi rotavapor, and the crude was purified by flash chromatography (70:30 hexanes/EtOAc) to give **27** as a colorless oil in 90% yield (0.40 g, 1.1 mmol).

 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.1 (m, 6H); 67.0–6.8 (m, 3H); 5.1 (d, 1H); 4.9 (d, 3/4H); 4.7 (d, 1/4H); 3.90 (s, 3H); 3.8 (s, 9/4H); 3.7 (s, 3/4H); 2.9–2.5 (m, 3H); 2.0–1.6 (m, 2H).

(+)-(1R,2S)-[1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (16) from 27. A solution of 27 (0.22 g, 0.60 mmol) and 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was cooled to 0 °C, and MeSO₃H (0.74 g, 0.78 mmol) was then added. The reaction mixture was brought to rt and stirred overnight. Upon completion (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and basified with 5 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and the organic layer was separated. The organic layer was washed with 5 mL of brine and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed using a Büchi rotavapor, to give an oil, which was purified by flash chromatography (10:90 \rightarrow 20:80, EtOAc/hexanes), providing 16 as a white solid in 89% yield (0.18 g, 0.70 mmol). Chiral HPLC (Chiralpak AS, 4.6 × 250 mm) indicated 98% ee.

The 1 H NMR spectrum was identical to that for **16** previously prepared from **11**. IR (film): 3250, 3040, 3000, 2920, 2840, 1650, 1580, 1270, 1240, 1050, 720 cm $^{-1}$. MS (CI): m/z 348 (M $^{+}$ + 1, 37 Cl), 346 (M $^{+}$ + 1, 35 Cl), 274 (M $^{+}$ – 73, 37 Cl, NHCO₂CH₃ + H), 272 (M $^{+}$ – 73, 35 Cl, NHCO₂CH₃ + H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₃NCl: C, 65.99; H, 5.83; N, 4.05. Found: C, 65.86; H, 5.80; N, 4.00.

(4S)-N-(Methoxycarbonyl)-4-(2-phenylethyl)-5-oxazolidinone (28). A solution of 9 (23.7 g, 100 mmol), paraformaldehyde (6.00 g, 200 mmol), p-toluenesulfonic acid (1.2 g, 6.00 mmol), and 800 mL of benzene was heated to reflux. Water was removed with the aid of a Dean—Stark trap, and heating was continued until no starting material remained as observed by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, washed with 10 mL of 0.3 M Na₂CO₃ and 2 \times 10 mL of brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor to give 28 as a yellow oil in 91% yield (24.2 g, 91.0 mmol). Upon standing, 28 solidified to an off-white solid.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.2 (m, 5H); 5.53 (br s, 1H); 5.21 (d, 1H, J = 4.3 Hz); 4.3 (m, 1H); 3.81 (s, 3H); 2.8–2.7 (m, 2H); 2.4–2.2 (m, 2H). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 3075, 3025, 2950, 2900, 1800, 1725, 1475, 1400, 1050 cm⁻¹. MS: m/z 250 (21), 204 (86), 169 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅O₄N: C, 62.64; H, 6.07; N, 5.62. Found: C, 62.34; H, 5.93; N, 5.64. Mp 62–65 °C.

(4S)-N-(Methoxycarbonyl)-5-(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-4-(2-phenylethyl)-5-hydroxyoxazolidine (29). Dry THF (8 mL) was added to a mixture of 5-bromo-2-chloroanisole (1.77 g, 8.00 mmol) and magnesium (0.19 g, 8.00 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred until initiation commenced (indicated by a color change to yellow and heat evolution), at which time additional THF was added until a 1 M solution of 4a was approximated. The temperature of the reaction mixture was not allowed to exceed

40 °C, and upon completion, a back-titration to a phenol-phthalein endpoint established the Grignard concentration.

A solution of **28** (1.0 g, 3.8 mmol) in 3.8 mL of dry THF was cooled to 0 °C, and 1.3 equiv of **4a** (solution in THF) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt (reaction monitored by TLC), and after complete disappearance of **28**, the reaction was quenched with 4 mL of 1 N HCl and the mixture extracted with Et_2O . EtOAc (250 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed using a Büchi rotavapor. The reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (75: $25 \rightarrow 60:40$ hexanes/EtOAc) to give **29** as a white solid in 88% yield (1.30 g, 3.4 mmol).

¹H NMR (mixture of diastereomers, CDCl₃): δ 7.5–7.0 (m, 8H); 5.7 (dd, 1H); 5.4–4.8 (m, 2H); 3.9–3.5 (m, 4H); 3.9 (s, 3H); 2.9–2.6 (m, 2H), 2.3–2.1 (m, 2H).

(4*S*)-*N*-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-5-(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-4-(2-phenylethyl)-5-hydroxyoxazolidine (30). **Mixture of Diastereomers.** 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.5–7.2 (m, 6H); 7.0–6.9 (m, 4H); 6.85 (dd, 1H); 6.7 (dd, 1H); 5.1–4.9 (m, 1H); 4.9–4.8 (m, 1H); 4.6 (t, 1H); 3.9 (s, 3H); 3.8 (s, 3H); 3.3 (m, 1H); 2.5 (t, 2H); 1.85 (m, 2H). IR (film): 3400, 2920, 1740, 1480, 1450, 1395, 1250, 1015 cm $^{-1}$.

(2S)-1-(4-Chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(methoxycarbomyl)-4-phenyl-1-butanone (26) from 29. A solution of 29 (0.25 g, 0.63 mmol) in 1.3 mL of Et₂O was cooled to 0 °C, and then BF₃·Et₂O (80 mL, 0.63 mol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred until complete by TLC, the reaction was quenched with aqueous NaHCO₃, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated using a Büchi rotavapor. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC (80:20 hexane/EtOAc) to give 26 as a clear oil in 79% yield (0.180 g, 0.50 mmol).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.2 (m, 8H); 5.72 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz); 5.33 (dt, 1H, J = 4, 8 Hz); 3.89 (s, 3H); 2.8–2.6 (m, 2H); 2.3–2.1 (m, 1H); 2.0–1.8 (m, 1H). IR (film): 3350, 2950, 1675, 1425, 1250, 1050 cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank Mr. S. Roman for expert technical assistance; Dr. T. M. Chan and Ms. Rebecca Osterman for NMR data; PACRD for analytical support; and Professor Paul A. Bartlett for helpful discussions.

Supporting Information Available

¹H NMR spectra for **2**, **5**, **9**, **11–21**, **25–30**, NOE experiment for **11**, ¹³C NMR spectra for **12** and **14**, and chiral HPLC conditions for **2**, **5**, **9**, **11**, **19**, **20**, and **26–29** (27 pages). See any current masthead page for ordering and Internet access instructions.

Received for review December 2, 1997.

OP970122K